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Abstract

One of the main themes in supply chain management is integration along the supply chain in order to improve performance. This paper contributes to a better understanding of what business conditions determine integrative practices. A framework is developed to investigate what level and scope of integration can be achieved in a supply chain dominated by shared resources, if the type and amount of uncertainty varies for different buyers. This framework is further explored in a case study of two colors manufacturing chemical industries and its six main buyers for one month through critical observations and interviewing techniques. Major findings obtained from the study are determining level of integration which is needed for different uncertainties for different buyer-supplier relationships based on shared resources of chemical industries.
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1. Introduction
The fundamental value of supply chain management for business improvement is widely acknowledged [3], [2], [4]. In theory, SCM means a proactive relationship and integration among various tiers in the chain [5]. An important idea seems to be that integration within and across firms is a pivotal element of supply chain management [6], [1]. Shared network resources are resources (product or process oriented) that are used by a supplier in the network for more than one buyer. Here, buyers competing for the resources seem to be one of the main barriers in achieving integration. This paper focuses on the type and level of integration that is achievable with each buyer if the supplier’s capacity is shared.

2. Literature Review

Integration and integrative practices
From the SCM literature, it is clear that integration is closely associated with performing activities in several areas in co-operation with other organizations in a chain. Joint activities can be developed in different areas. This is labeled as the scope of integration: the number of supply chain areas in which cooperation is developed. Furthermore, this paper distinguishes four logistical areas as separate dimensions of the scope: flow of goods, planning and control, organization, and flow of information (see Table 2.1) [9], [7], [8], [10].

Table 2.1 includes examples of Integrative practices within the four logistical areas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Examples of integrative practices</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flow of goods</td>
<td>Packaging customization, common containers, vendor managed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>inventories(VMI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning &amp; control</td>
<td>Joint forecasting and/or planning, multilevel supply control [11]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Partnership, quasi-firm [13], virtual firm [4], JIT II [12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow of information</td>
<td>Sharing production plans, EDI, internet, barcoding</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The level of integration can be described [14] as to what extent an integrative activity is developed. The level of integration applies to each of the areas presented under the scope.
Classification of Uncertainty
In order to distinguish between the different kinds of risks, the sources of uncertainty need to be separated into two different constructs:

1. Endogenous uncertainty: The source of uncertainty/risk is inside the SC and can lead to changing relationships between focal firm and suppliers, the most notable kinds are market and technology turbulence.

   Market turbulence: Market turbulence is likely to arise from the hetero-genetic and rapid changes in the composition of customers in the market and their preferences [15]. Market turbulence can also be caused by mergers or acquisitions. If a supplier is acquired by another company or the divestiture of a certain division occurs, this can result in delivery or quality problems.

   Technological turbulence: Technological turbulence refers to the degree to which technology changes over time within an industry and the effects of those changes on the industry [16]. Technological turbulence arises from changes in the underlying technologies of products or services and their rates of obsolescence [15].

   Endogenous uncertainty can be reduced with a proper and proactive relationship with a supplier (using methods like information sharing, relationship development, joint reviews, etc.) [17].

2. Exogenous uncertainty: The source of uncertainty/risk is from outside the SC. Possible disruptions can be classified as long-term uncertainties (e.g. raw material/final product unit price fluctuations, seasonal demand variations) and short-term uncertainties (cancelled/rushed orders, equipment failure, etc.) [18], while those risks can be classified based on their likelihood and impact on business [19].

   Our proposed classification of exogenous uncertainty is novel by virtue of its distribution of risk on the probability distribution of its impact:

   1. Continuous risk: Events where the costs of potential changes are continuous in nature and relatively easy to predict (example: changes in raw material prices). For such risks, a calculation of the effect of a certain price increase on profit margins can be made and different insurance instruments can be arranged in advance [23].

   2. Discrete events: This category consists of low-likelihood, high-impact events which can be classified as terrorism, the spread of diseases, natural disasters [20]. These are often hard to predict and their consequences can be large yet hard to measure.

   While endogenous uncertainty can be measured with precise (and previously validated) questionnaires, the exogenous uncertainty is harder to estimate.

Uncertainty, shared resources and integration
A number of authors have explored the influence of uncertainty on integration in the supply chain. Three sources of uncertainty: customer demand, manufacturing and supply, control system [21], [10], [22].

The level of integration needed depends largely on the amount of uncertainty within the supply chain. In this paper, it is discussed the impact of the different kinds of uncertainty on the allocation of capacity and the need for integration in a supply chain (see Table 2.3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SC uncertainty</th>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Integrative practices</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low-volume, low mix/specification</td>
<td>Necessity to integrate is absent</td>
<td>Simple ordering procedures (continuous replenishment, quick response), working together in optimizing the control of inventories (e.g. Vendor Managed Inventories), physical flow (e.g. Kanban).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-volume, low mix/specification</td>
<td>Supplier has difficulty in capacity planning, buyers are reluctant to future commitments</td>
<td>Stocks, practices to improve physical flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-volume, high mix/specification</td>
<td>High obsolete risks, capacity requirements stable, broad scope and high level of integration is necessary</td>
<td>Capacity reservation or buyer-focused operations enables broad scope and high level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High volume, high mix/specification</td>
<td>Stocks and capacity reservations are not feasible options, shared resources as important barrier</td>
<td>Information exchange crucial, supplier orchestrates the different links with buyers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

However, it is important to know what products have to be produced (the specification and the mix) and how much capacity needs to be planned or reserved (volume).
3. Research Methodology

**Introduction to the case study**

The suppliers under study are relatively small part of two different large multinational color manufacturing companies named Berger paints and TAJ MG. Berger paints chemical industry which is situated in Savar, Dhaka and the Toyo Ink and Chemicals Bangladesh Limited is situated 83 Rajashan, Savar, and Dhaka-1340. The supplier was studied during a period of 1 month, with about two site visits. Both the length of the period and the use of different methods, interviews with different persons from various departments and the combination of qualitative and quantitative data made validation of data possible.

**Supply characteristics**

Raw materials are picked from the warehouse. These raw materials are mixed according to the recipe. Supply uncertainty is thus practically absent. Planning is based on monthly forecasts from sales (replenishment orders) and actual customer orders. Still, the delivery reliability is roughly 95%. Demand is lumpy and one-off for most buyers. Uncertainty comes into being through both the specification of the product, the moment of ordering and the amount asked for. For the purpose of clarity, it is restricted itself to the relationship of the pigment supplier and the six largest buyers of their pigments of two different color manufacturing industries. Table 4.1 contains a summary of their general characteristics.

4. Data Collection and Analysis

The supply chain uncertainty as experienced by the pigment supplier for each link is summarized in Table 4.2. The levels of uncertainty are based on the numbers in Table 4.1 and the regularity in orders as well as assessments from the planners and sales people.

**Table 4.1** Characteristics of the six main buyers under two different paint industries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of industries</th>
<th>Berger Paints (Berger Chemical industries)</th>
<th>TAJ MG (Toyo Ink &amp; Chemicals Bangladesh limited)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Topics</td>
<td>Developers Domestic Appliances Compoundin g Packaging I Packaging II Garment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product life-cycle</td>
<td>3 years—10 years 3 months—few years 2.5–3 years 2.5–3 years Long Long</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Order cycle</td>
<td>1 week—3 weeks Few days’ — 1.5 week 10 days Project &lt;1 week &lt;1 week</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sourcing policy</td>
<td>Single More than 5 years Single More than 5 years Dual 2 years Multiple More than 5 years Single 10 years Dual 10 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relationships</td>
<td>Single More than 5 years Single More than 5 years Dual 2 years Multiple More than 5 years Single 10 years Dual 10 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stocks Supplier</td>
<td>3 months 3 months 2 months Varies per project (no inventory risk) 2 months 6 months</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upstream</td>
<td>limited Very limited Substantial N/A 1 month 2 weeks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obsolete stock</td>
<td>1% 6% 0% 0% 0% 0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer-Order</td>
<td>Make-To-Order Make-To-Stock (for most products) Make-To-Order Make-To-Order (delivery from stock) Make-To-Order Make-To-Order (delivery from stock)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decoupling-Point</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Table 4.2: Levels of uncertainty across the six buyers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of industries</th>
<th>Berger Paints (Berger Chemical industries)</th>
<th>TAJ MG (Toyo Ink &amp; Chemicals Bangladesh limited)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Types of uncertainty</td>
<td>Developers</td>
<td>Domestic Appliances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncertainty (short term)</td>
<td>Almost High</td>
<td>Almost high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mix/specification</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncertainty (long term)</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Analysis for Developers**

Despite the experienced uncertainty (high in specification, medium in volume), the level of integration remains high and the scope is narrow. According to Table 2.3, information exchange is crucial to enable the supplier to orchestrate the shared resources’ capacity for the different supply links.

![Flow of goods for Developers](image1.png)

**Fig.1: Flow of goods for Developers**

**Analysis for Domestic appliances**

Despite the experienced uncertainty (high in specification, medium in volume), the level of integration remains high and the scope is narrow. According to Table 2.3, information exchange is crucial to enable the supplier to orchestrate the shared resources’ capacity for the different supply links.

![Flow of goods for Domestic Appliances](image2.png)

**Fig.2: Flow of goods for Domestic Appliances**

**Analysis for Compounding**

Given the medium level of uncertainty in volume and mix/specification, Table 2.3 suggests a somewhat higher level of integration. However, Compounding and the pigment producer both keep considerable stocks. Because of these stocks, uncertainty as experienced by the pigment producer is in fact low. Therefore, it is not surprising that the scope of integration is restricted (only exchange of information) and that the level of integration is not high. A better attuning of the level of stocks by means of an integrated planning and VMI is feasible in this situation and will reduce costs in the chain.
This link can be characterized as high uncertainty in the longer run, but low uncertainty in the operational stage of a project in the short run. Within each project there is hardly any uncertainty and sale of stocked items is guaranteed. The number of projects varies each year and the timing of projects is difficult to predict. Therefore, integration of activities is restricted to the projects themselves. Given the level of uncertainty within the projects, the narrow scope and the low level of integration are in line with Table 2.3.

The activities of the pigment producer and Packaging II are not integrated at all. There is some uncertainty regarding the volume and timing of orders. If the buyer and supplier would decide to reduce their stocks, Table 2.3 suggests a higher level of integration to cope with the uncertainty in volume and timing. This reduction in stock levels might be achieved by sharing more information.

Garment uses a dual sourcing policy with our pigment producer supplying 66% (see Fig. 6). Due to this sourcing policy, there is some uncertainty with respect to timing and volume. The colors, however, are not often subjected to changes. The low level of integration and narrow scope seems appropriate.
5. Discussion
Here, this paper concentrates on supply chains that are dominated by shared resources: common capacity used for different supply chains. The paper develops a framework for the influence of uncertainty on the level and scope of integration, in case of shared resources. The findings in the case study are in line with what is expected, but more research across more different cases in different industrial sectors need to be performed to limit possible biases from studying only six supplier–buyer relationships in the color manufacturing chemical industry. Another area for further research is the level of performance associated with different levels of integration.
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